

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/786,299	Applicant(s) MCCLURE, BRENT A.	
	Examiner Lee, Calvin	Art Unit 2818	

All Participants:
Status of Application: After-Final

 (1) Calvin Lee.

(3) _____.

 (2) Megan S. Woodworth.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 November 2005
Time: 17:38
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11 and 39

Prior art documents discussed:

2003/0089929 to Rhodes

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Claim 11, line 10, after "charge collection region" insert --to form a doped area in said charge collection region--

Claim 11, line 11, after "within said slot" insert --, the contact being in electrical connection to said charge collection region--

Claim 39, line 8, after "floating diffusion region" insert --to form a doped area in said floating diffusion region--

Claim 39, line 9, after "floating diffusion region" insert --, the contact being in electrical connection to said floating diffusion region--.